



## Public Comments

**Meeting:** Wednesday, March 16, 2022

**Submittal:** Written comments only; e-mailed received at [planning@cityoftacoma.org](mailto:planning@cityoftacoma.org) by 12:00 noon, on the meeting day

**Subjects:** Comments are addressing the following Discussion Item on the agenda:

**#1 – Home In Tacoma Phase 2**

**No. of  
Comments:** 2



**From:** [freemans@wamail.net](mailto:freemans@wamail.net)  
**To:** [Planning](#)  
**Subject:** Home in Tacoma Scope of Work  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 8:25:28 PM

---

Hello,

If I read the Planning Commission agenda correctly for March 16, the Commission will be discussing the scope of work for the Home in Tacoma project phase 2. My understanding is public comments are accepted on discussion items. I would ask the Commission to please consider the following:

1. The 25 page scope of work is quite broad and it is difficult to ascertain the actual plan forward. I encourage the Commission and staff to be specific with the public about 1. what exactly this next phase will entail (design standards? setbacks? densities?); 2. how the public can provide input; 3. how and when decisions are anticipated to be made.
2. Please consider sending notices to all households located within zones that are now under the new mid-scale designation letting them know directly how to participate. Consider scheduling open houses or discussion meetings within these centers or near these centers to take public comment and ideas.
3. It is always impressive when discussion opens and people are allowed to participate openly how many wonderful ideas come out of the conversation. Many people throughout Tacoma have great solutions and ideas. Everyone is more willing to accept change if they have the chance to put their ideas forward. Please make sure the outreach allows for an array of new ideas from the public and enough time is allotted in the process to listen and assess the ideas put forward. Much of the 'Scope' appears to rely on undefined 'stakeholders' and it is not clear on how input will be taken.
3. Among the topics to be explored, please consider how the mid-scale designations across the city are different, some are located on major arterials, others are located on residential streets. The new code should address these differences by proposing different design and zoning allowances. Please make sure public outreach, discussions and code solutions account for these differences and not a one size fits all.

Thank you for your consideration!

**From:** [Martha Webb](#)  
**To:** [Planning](#)  
**Subject:** Hoome in Tacoma  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 16, 2022 10:39:33 AM

---

I just finished watching a piece from the Tacoma Historical Society on the New Salishan. Might you take a look and use it as a model for . . . well for just about everything. I think home ownership should be included in the plans and the Salishan model does. Trees?, that's there too. Diversity? Yep. Nearby services. Yep, yep, and more yep. The folks of Tacoma deserve all of it.  
Marty Webb

Sent from my iPad