Re: Vacation Petitions Nos. 124.1382, 124.1383, and 124.1384
Petitioner: Puyallup Tribe of Indians

Dear Parties,

With regard to the above referenced vacation petition matters, please find enclosed copies of the Hearing Examiner’s Reports and Recommendations to the Tacoma City Council entered on November 27, 2017, as the result of public hearings held on November 8 and 16, 2017.

Sincerely,

Louisa Legg
Office Administrator

Enclosures (3): Reports & Recommendations

cc: Transmitted via Electronic Mail Delivery
    Pierce County Assessor-Treasurer (Darci Brandvold)
    Comcast (Aaron Cantrell)
    Puget Sound Energy (Marilyn Danby)
    Tacoma Clerk’s Office (Nicole Emery)
    Legal (Steve Victor)
    Tacoma Public Utilities, Real Estate Services (Gregory Muller)
    Tacoma Water, Water Distribution (Jesse Angel)
    Tacoma Power/T&D Electrical Services (Jeffrey Rusler, P.E.)
    Tacoma Fire Department (Chris Seaman, P.E.)
    Environmental Services (Larry Criswell, C.E.)
    Public Works/LID, City of Tacoma (Sue Simpson)
    Planning and Development Services Department, City of Tacoma (Jana Magoon/Lisa Spadoni)
    Planning and Development Services Department, City of Tacoma (Lihuang Wung)
OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER

CITY OF TACOMA

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE CITY COUNCIL

PETITIONER: Puyallup Tribe of Indians  FILE NO: HEX2017-032 (124.1384)

SUMMARY OF REQUEST:

A petition from the Puyallup Tribe of Indians to vacate that certain portion of the alley lying between East 29th Street and East 30th Street, lying easterly of East R Street and westerly of the west margin of the proposed East 30th Street connector road as approved under Work Order Number 16-0040, abutting and adjacent to Tribal property, in connection with the Emerald Queen Casino relocation project.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE HEARING EXAMINER:

The request is hereby recommended for approval, subject to conditions.

PUBLIC HEARING:

After reviewing the report of the Department of Public Works, Real Property Services Division (“RPS”) and examining available information on file with the petition, the Hearing Examiner conducted a public hearing on this petition on November 16, 2017.
FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION:

FINDINGS:

1. The Petitioner, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, a federally recognized Indian Tribe (hereinafter the “Tribe”), is requesting the vacation of that certain portion of the alley lying between East 29th Street and East 30th Street, lying easterly of East R Street and westerly of the west margin of the proposed East 30th Street connector road as approved under Work Order Number 16-0040. The area sought to be vacated is legally described as:

That portion of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 10, Township 20 North, Range 03 East of the Willamette Meridian, more particularly described as follows:

That portion of alley right-of-way lying easterly of East ‘R’ Street, being between and abutting Lots 1 through 20, of Blocks 7950 to the north and Block 8049 to the south, both of the Map of the Indian Addition to the City of Tacoma, according to the Plat thereof filed on May 1, 1896, in Book 7 of Plats at pages 30 and 31, records of Pierce County, Washington, lying westerly of the following described line; Commencing at the Southwest corner of Lot 20 of said Block 7950, thence easterly along the south line of said Lot 20 a distance of 3.41 feet; Thence southeasterly to a point on the north line of Lot 20 of said Block 8049 which is 10.00 feet east of the northwest corner of said Lot 20, as measured along the north line, and end of this line description.

Situate in the City of Tacoma, County of Pierce, State of Washington.

Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony.

2. This alley is 20 feet in width, is partially improved with deteriorating asphalt, and is used primarily as ingress and egress to the abutting parking lots, but it is not fully improved. This alley terminates at East T Street and does not make a secondary connection to a public roadway. Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony.

3. The City of Tacoma acquired the alley right-of-way, from which the portion is requested for vacation, by Plat filing of the Indian Addition to the City of Tacoma, as filed of record in Volume 7, at Page 30, records of Pierce County, Washington. Exs. 4 and 5; Cornforth Testimony.

4. The area to be vacated has not been assessed for sanitary sewers and is subject to a Connection Charge In-Lieu-of-Assessment per Tacoma Municipal Code (“TMC”) 12.08.350. Petitioner can clear this item from title as part of this vacation process, or can address the charge at the time of further development. Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION
5. The requested vacation area has been reviewed by outside quasi-governmental agencies, City departments/divisions, and utility providers. The reviewing agencies have indicated no objections to the proposed alleyway vacation, provided that the conditions in section 6 below are imposed. Exs. 1, and 6 through 9; Cornforth Testimony. The Petitioner concurs in the conditions recommended by RPS and agrees to comply with the same. Hunter Testimony.

6. No one appeared at the hearing in opposition to the Tribe's petition to vacate the subject alleyway area. Members/representatives of the Tribe appeared on its behalf and testified in person and through written submissions. Exs. 12 through 15; Hunter Testimony, Wright Testimony. Written submissions were received from Robert L. Hunter, Jr., legal counsel for the Tribe, Frank Wright, the General Manager of the Emerald Queen Casino, Julie Hamilton, the Tribe's Chief Financial Officer, and Matt Wadhwani, Assistant CFO for the Tribe, and these submissions were made part of the record. Exs. 12 through 15.

7. The public would benefit from the proposed street vacation to the extent that it will facilitate commercial uses of the adjoining lands, it will facilitate economic and employment viability to the community, it will facilitate other, related economic development, and it will lessen the City's right-of-way maintenance obligations. Exs. 1 and 12 through 15; Hunter Testimony, Cornforth Testimony.

8. The alleyway that is the subject of this vacation request is not contemplated for future public use and would not adversely affect the public need. Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony, Hunter Testimony.

9. No abutting property or nearby property would become landlocked or have its access substantially impaired as a result of the requested street vacation. Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony, Hunter Testimony. This is due, at least in part, to the Tribe having provided access to tax parcel no. 4715013120 through an agreement dated October 17, 2017, which agreement addresses impacts resulting from this requested vacation and impacts that may occur during construction activities for the East 30th Street connector road. Ex. II. Permanent access to tax parcel no. 4715013120, which is owned by the Federal Government in trust for a tribal member, will ultimately be revised, re-established and improved with the completion of the East 30th Street connector road by providing an alley approach accessible from north and south directions of travel. Ex. 10; Cornforth Testimony.

10. The proposed street vacation neither abuts, nor is it proximate to a body of water and, therefore, the provisions of RCW 35.79.035 are not implicated. Ex. 1; Cornforth Testimony, Hunter Testimony.

11. Pursuant to WAC 197-11-800(2)(h), the vacation of streets or roads is exempt from the threshold determination and Environmental Impact Statement requirements of RCW 43.21.C, the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA).

12. RPS' Preliminary Report, as amended (Ex. Ia) (the "Report"), and as entered into the record as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 1a, accurately describes the requested vacation and project proposed to follow the vacation, general and specific facts about the site and area, and applicable codes. The Report is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION
13. On October 5, 2017, a yellow public notice sign was placed at the westerly end of the alley at East R Street. Thereafter, on October 6, 2017, a public notice for the November 8, 2017, hearing was mailed to all parties of record within 1,000 feet of the requested vacation area and advertised in the Daily Index newspaper. Also on October 6, 2017, a public notice memo was placed into the glass display case located on the First Floor of the Tacoma Municipal Building next to the City’s Finance Department, and a public notice memo was advertised on both the City of Tacoma web site at address: http://www.cityoftacoma.org/notices and on Municipal Television Channel 12.

14. Any conclusion hereinafter stated which may be deemed to be a finding herein is hereby adopted as such.

CONCLUSIONS:


2. The Hearing Examiner’s role in street vacation proceedings is quasi-judicial in nature, leading to a legislative determination by the City Council when enacted by ordinance. State ex rel. Myhre v. City of Spokane, 70 Wn.2d 207, 218, 442 P.2d 790 (1967).

3. Petitions for the vacation of public right-of-way are reviewed for consistency with the following criteria:

   1. That the vacation will provide a public benefit, and/or will be for public purpose;
   2. That the right-of-way vacation shall not adversely affect the street pattern or circulation of the immediate area or the community as a whole;
   3. That the public need shall not be adversely affected;
   4. That the right-of-way is not contemplated or needed for future public use;
   5. That no abutting owner becomes landlocked or his access will not be substantially impaired; i.e., there must be an alternative mode of ingress and egress, even if less convenient; and
   6. That the vacation of right-of-way shall not be in violation of RCW 35.79.035.

TMC 9.22.070.

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND RECOMMENDATION
4. The Petitioner must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that its vacation request conforms to the foregoing criteria. See TMC 1.23.070.

5. Findings entered herein, based upon substantial evidence in the hearing record, support a conclusion that the requested street vacation conforms to the criteria for the vacation of street rights-of-way, provided the conditions recommended herein are imposed. The public would experience benefits from the economic development that the requested vacation will facilitate. The proposed street vacation would not impair traffic circulation, landlock any abutting owner, or adversely affect the public need.

6. Accordingly, the requested street vacation should be approved subject to the following conditions:

A. SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

1. CONDITION—PAYMENT OF FEES

The Petitioner shall compensate the City in an amount equal to the full appraised value of the area to be vacated. One-half of the revenue received shall be devoted to the acquisition, improvement and maintenance of public open space land and one-half may be devoted to transportation projects and/or management and maintenance of other City owned lands and unimproved rights-of-way. The City will commence its efforts to get the vacation area appraised based on this recommendation that the vacation proceed. TMC 9.22.010.

2. CONDITION—ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

City of Tacoma Environmental Services (“ES”) has no objection to this petition; however, ES has infrastructure proposed to be relocated, abandoned and or removed in connection with the East 30th Street connector road under Work Order Number 16-0040. Second Reading of the Ordinance shall be conditioned upon the completion of Work Order Number 16-0040, or in the alternative, the Tribe and the City may reach agreement on separating certain elements of the work currently encompassed in Work Order Number 16-0040 into a separate work order, in order to be able to move to Second Reading sooner.

3. CONDITION—TACOMA POWER

Tacoma Power has no objection to this petition; however, Tacoma Power has infrastructure proposed to be relocated, abandoned and or removed in connection with the East 30th Street connector road under Work Order Number 16-0040. Second Reading of the Ordinance shall be conditioned upon the completion of Work Order Number 16-0040, or in the alternative, the Tribe and the City may reach agreement on separating
certain elements of the work currently encompassed in Work Order Number 16-0040 into a separate work order, in order to be able to move to Second Reading sooner.

B. USUAL CONDITIONS:

1. The recommendation set forth herein is based upon representations made and exhibits, including development plans and proposals, submitted at the hearing conducted by the Hearing Examiner. Any substantial change(s) or deviation(s) in such development plans, proposals, or conditions of approval imposed shall be subject to the approval of the Hearing Examiner and may require further and additional hearings.

2. The recommendation made herein is subject to all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and ordinances. Compliance with such laws, regulations, and ordinances is a condition precedent to any approvals that result herefrom and is a continuing requirement of such approvals. By accepting any resulting approvals, the Petitioner represents that the development and activities allowed will comply with such laws, regulations, and ordinances. If, during the term of the approval(s) granted, the development and activities permitted do not comply with such laws, regulations, or ordinances, the Petitioner agrees to promptly bring such development or activities into compliance.

C. ADVISORY COMMENTS:

1. Comcast

Comcast has no objection to this petition; however, Comcast does have infrastructure attached to Tacoma Power poles within the area of vacation anticipated to be relocated with Tacoma Power to the East 30th Street connector road under Work Order 16-0040. Execution of third party easement is required in the event relocation is not completed.

2. Public Works/L.I.D.

Public Works LID Section has no objection to this petition; however, the LID Section has provided this Advisory Comment for the Petitioner that there currently is an in-lieu of assessment for sanitary sewer in the amount of $1,353.44. See Finding 4 above.

7. Accordingly, the vacation petition should be granted, subject to conditions set forth in Conclusion 6 above.

8. Any finding hereinbefore stated which may be deemed to be a conclusion herein is hereby adopted as such.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATION -6-
RECOMMENDATION:

The vacation request is hereby recommended for approval, subject to conditions contained in Conclusion 6 above.

DATED this 27th day of November, 2017.

[Signature]
JEFF H. CAPELL, Hearing Examiner

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND RECOMMENDATION -7-
NOTICE

RECONSIDERATION/APPEAL OF EXAMINER’S RECOMMENDATION

RECONSIDERATION:
Any aggrieved person or entity having standing under the ordinance governing the matter, or as otherwise provided by law, may file a motion with the office of the Hearing Examiner requesting reconsideration of a decision/recommendation entered by the Examiner. A motion for reconsideration must be in writing and must set forth the alleged errors of procedure, fact, or law and must be filed in the Office of the Hearing Examiner within 14 calendar days of the issuance of the Examiner’s decision/recommendation, not counting the day of issuance of the decision/recommendation. If the last day for filing the motion for reconsideration falls on a weekend day or a holiday the last day for filing shall be the next working day. The requirements set forth herein regarding the time limits for filing of motions for reconsideration and contents of such motions are jurisdictional. Accordingly, motions for reconsideration that are not timely filed with the Office of the Hearing Examiner or do not set forth the alleged errors shall be dismissed by the Examiner. It shall be within the sole discretion of the Examiner to determine whether an opportunity shall be given to other parties for response to a motion for reconsideration. The Examiner, after a review of the matter, shall take such further action as he/she deems appropriate, which may include the issuance of a revised decision/recommendation. *(Tacoma Municipal Code 1.23.140)*

APPEALS TO CITY COUNCIL OF EXAMINER’S RECOMMENDATION:
Within 14 days of the issuance of the Hearing Examiner’s final recommendation, any aggrieved person or entity having standing under the ordinance governing such application and feeling that the recommendation of the Examiner is based on errors of procedure, fact or law shall have the right to appeal the recommendation of the Examiner by filing written notice of appeal with the City Clerk, stating the reasons the Examiner’s recommendation was in error.

Appeals shall be reviewed and acted upon by the City Council in accordance with *TMC 1.70*.

GENERAL PROCEDURES FOR APPEAL:
The Official Code of the City of Tacoma contains certain procedures for appeal, and while not listing all of these procedures here, you should be aware of the following items which are essential to your appeal. Any answers to questions on the proper procedure for appeal may be found in the City Code sections heretofore cited:

1. The written request for review shall also state where the Examiner’s findings or conclusions were in error.

2. Any person who desires a copy of the electronic recording must pay the cost of reproducing the tapes. If a person desires a written transcript, he or she shall arrange for transcription and pay the cost thereof.

Notice - No Fee *(7/11/00)*

FINDINGS OF FACT,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
AND RECOMMENDATION -8-